

---

[Working Paper No. 54](#)

Keep in mind

The series Working Papers on Economics is published by the Office for Economic Studies at the *Banco de la República* (Central Bank of *Colombia*). It contributes to the dissemination and promotion of the work by researchers from the institution. This series is indexed at Research Papers in Economics (RePEc).

On multiple occasions, these works have been the result of collaborative work with individuals from other national or international institutions. The works published are provisional, and their authors are fully responsible for the opinions expressed in them, as well as for possible mistakes. The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Banco de la República or its Board of Directors.

AUTHOR OR EDITOR

[Adolfo Meisel](#)

Imagine a country whose inflation rate has recently surpassed 300% a year, and during some months even surpassed the 50% level that was established as a benchmark for the definition of Phillip Cagan's classic study on the subject. This rapid inflation led to a devaluation of the local currency of 166%, with respect to that of the main trading partner in the previous year. The instability in the foreign exchange market initiated a financial crisis which resulted in numerous bank failures.

Imagine a country whose export earnings are very unstable. When the reductions in exports are

---

especially severe, public finances fall in disarray, and the budget deficit climbs since tariff revenues represent close to 70% of government revenues. Imagine a country which represents such a high risk for foreign investors that its public debt bonds are quoted between 39% and 43% of their face value. This high long history of incumplimientos, and new incumplimientos, has led to the absence of new loans and a very low government debt in per-capita terms.

This economic instability was coupled with recurring political instability and the confrontation between two parties which often adopted intransigent, ideologically rigid, and maximalist positions.

Not surprisingly this country had one of the lowest levels of exports per-capita, foreign investment, and kilometers of roads and railroads, in the continent it was located. This was Colombia in 1905: a poor, unstable, and regionally fragmented economy. A sharp contrast with what has been the image and the reality of the Colombian economy since 1905.

What made the difference between a nineteenth century marked by political and economic instability that concluded with the civil war of 1899-1902, which led to enormous budget deficits, a near hyperinflation, and the loss of Panama in 1903, and a twentieth century of monetary discipline and a remarkably stable democracy?

In this paper I will try to answer this question. The first section discusses the political and economic determinants of stability in Colombia's economic policies since 1905. The role of the party system and its characteristics is analyzed. Also the type of linkages generated by the coffee exporting sector is taken into account.

In the final section a profile of the economic policy-makers in the period 1974-1996 and the intellectual environment in which economic policies are discussed and generated is considered.