Download (Updated on 10/10/2023 at 15:25)

Datos del reporte (Only in Spanish)

Presentation (Only in Spanish)

**AUTHOR OR EDITOR** 

Office of the Deputy Technical Governor

Office for Monetary Operations and International Investments

Financial Infrastructure Oversight Department

With its annual <u>Payment Systems Report</u>, Banco de la República offers a complete overview of the infrastructure of Colombia's financial market.

**Publication Date:** 

Thursday, 14 December 2023

Each edition of the report has four objectives:

- 1) to publicize a consolidated account of how the figures for payment infrastructures have evolved with respect to both financial assets and goods and services;
- 2) to summarize the issues that are being debated internationally and are of interest to the industry that provides payment clearing and settlement services;
- 3) to offer the public an explanation of the ideas and concepts behind retail-value payment processes and the trends in retail payments within the circuit of individuals and companies; and
- 4) to familiarize the public, the industry, and all other financial authorities with the methodological progress that has been achieved through applied research to analyze the stability of payment systems.




Consulte el Reporte completo de 2023

Main Points: 2023


Banco de la República monitored financial market infrastructures (FMIs) in Colombia continuously throughout the year.

The central bank monitored their performance continuously, using risk assessment methods and putting the findings of applied research into practice to detect possible challenges to financial stability that could arise from problems or disruptions in FMIs.




| , | The domestic fnancial infrastructure in 2022 was safe and effcient. |  |
|---|---|--|
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |

Colombian FMIs were extremely resilient in the face of economic and financial challenges, both domestic and international. Thanks to effcient management, the payment system and financial markets were able to operate normally, providing stability and confidence to their participants. As proof of this, the financial infrastructure maintained its services on a continuous basis, showing general evidence of its safe and adequate operation.




| During the year, the FMIs refected the movement in domestic fnancial markets. |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |


Primarily, the fxed-income market showed positive developments in traded volumes, despite experiencing devaluations (in a context of increased infationary pressures, tighter fnancial conditions and added global and local uncertainty). The foreign exchange market, which experienced important levels of volatility during the year, registered significant momentum in TRM-indexed products cleared and settled through the CRCC.



| There was more activity in the largevalue payments system. |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Banco de la República's large-value payments system (CUD) saw an increase in activity compared to the previous year, thanks to more momentum the market for public debt (purchases and sales and sell/buy-back agreements), repos to expand money supply, and retail-value payments (electronic funds transfers, checks and cards). This dynamic was offset partially by lower balances for government interest-bearing deposits.






As a result of CUD activity, the amounts cleared and settled through the Central Securities Depository (DCV) were larger because of an increase in money market operations involving public debt. There was an increase in operations managed by the Central Counterparty Clearing House (CRCC), due to the addition of temporary transfers of sovereign-debt securities (TTS) in the fxed income segment and positive developments in underlying TRM products (futures, NDF forwards and options).




| contribution toward mitigating intraday liquidity risk in the large-value payment system. |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

The evolution in several intraday liquidity indicators proposed by the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) showed the CUD maintained enough liquidity to make payments. As for the DCV, its simulated netting cycles have proven to be an effective option for generating liquidity savings in the system. A change in the cycles would imply an exhaustive evaluation of all the available simulated netting options, invariably seeking an adequate balance between effciency and risk, with the objective of achieving an optimal and safe netting process.





The higher initial and variation margin requirements caused by extreme market volatility during 2020 were mitigated by mechanisms the CRCC put in place (such as large position management and a buffer in the margin model) to reduce the impact on its clearing members. This countercyclical response made it possible to adequately manage the liquidity of market participants during a period of high volatility and risk aversion.




| importance of having special regimes for CCP. |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |


The functions and business models of financial institutions and CCPs are different, as are the risks inherent in one and the other. Consequently, the resolution strategies, powers and capabilities of financial authorities should be specific for the CCPs. In this respect, further analysis to create a special resolution regime for the CCPs in Colombia would be important, considering that the CRCC's contribution to mitigating counterparty and liquidity risks is essential for developing markets and financial stability in the country.



| fnancial stability in Colombia. |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |

Internationally, cyber risk is regarded as one of the most relevant risks. Therefore, its effective management has been the focus of recommendations from multilateral organizations. In Colombia, the SFC has established guidelines, protocols, and standards in light of these recommendations. Together with the policies and practices implemented by Banco de la República (Banrep), they have facilitated joint efforts on the part of authorities, fnancial infrastructures, and their participants to address cyber risks in a coordinated manner and to help strengthen cyber resilience in the Colombian fnancial sector.






The added use of payment channels, such as the Internet and mobile telephones, contributed to the growth in electronic payments. The increase in electronic transfers (both inter- and intra-bank), and their use in mobile wallets, recognized as closed payment schemes, is worth noting.



| Cash is still the payment instrument most used by Colombians for routine payments. |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

The latest measurement of the survey on perception of the use of payment instruments conducted by Banrep (2022) showed the instrument most used by Colombians for routine payments continues to be cash. Additionally, the value of banknotes in circulation, as a proportion of GDP over the last ten years (a proxy indicator of cash payments), showed an upward trend, consistent with the behavior of the same indicator in different countries.






These innovations eventually could be considered in the design of a central bank digital currency for use by the general population and businesses (a retail CBDC). A deeper understanding of how they work is helpful in recognizing their potential scope, as well as their benefts and risks.



#### interoperability in payments.

ISO 20022 is a standard for messaging in the fnancial industry. It offers a common language with more complete data that allows for effciency in processing different types of payment, harmonization between fnancial infrastructures, fraud detection and improved user experience. Internationally, the case of England is particularly relevant for outlining a long-term plan to incorporate standardized messaging. Locally, the new DCV has adopted standard messaging and plans to include it in instant payment systems.



# Cifras clave, 2023

(en promedio diario de 2022 y cambio anual, porcentaje)

#### Por infraestructura



#### Transacciones liquidadas en CUD

| Valor             | Cambio  |      | Número        | Cambio |
|-------------------|---------|------|---------------|--------|
|                   | Nominal | Real |               |        |
| <b>COP 61,3 b</b> | 15 %    | 2 %  | <b>6.76</b> 5 | 9 %    |



#### Pagos en los mercados financieros

| Valor   |                   | Cambio  |      | Número Cambio |             |
|---------|-------------------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|
|         |                   | Nominal | Real |               |             |
| DCV     | COP 43,0 b        | 25 %    | 10 % | 2.425         | 13 %        |
| CRCC    | COP 31,5 b        | 18 %    | 4 %  | 8.503         | 18 %        |
| Deceval | COP 3,0 b         | 15 %    | 1 %  | 6.540         | 45 %        |
|         | <b>COP 77,5 b</b> | 21      | 7 %  | 17.468        | <b>26</b> % |



## Pagos al por menor

| Valor        |           | Cambio  |       | Número Cambio |       |
|--------------|-----------|---------|-------|---------------|-------|
|              |           | Nominal | Real  |               |       |
| ACH Colombia | COP 6,8 b | 21 %    | 7 %   | 1,3 m         | 10 %  |
| ACH Cenit    | COP 1,3 b | 13 %    | 0 %   | 91.315        | 37 %  |
| Redes TyC    | COP 0,7 b | 55 %    | 37 %  | 5,1 m         | 54 %  |
| Cedec        | COP 0,6 b | -2 %    | -13 % | 19.256        | -16 % |
|              | COP 9,4 b | 20      | 6 %   | 6,6 m         | 42 %  |

#### Por instrumento



#### Transferencias

| Valor |            | Cambio  |             | Número Cambio |      |  |
|-------|------------|---------|-------------|---------------|------|--|
|       |            | Nominal | Real        |               |      |  |
|       | COP 12,9 b | -5 %    | -16 %       | · ·           | 88 % |  |
| Inter | COP 8,1 b  | 20 %    | 6 %         | 1,4 m         | 11 % |  |
| (     | COP 20,9 b | 3       | <b>-9</b> % | 7,7 m         | 67 % |  |

## Operaciones con tarjetas

| Valor              | Cambio  |      | Número Cambi |      |
|--------------------|---------|------|--------------|------|
|                    | Nominal | Real |              |      |
| Débito COP 997 mm  | 18 %    | 4 %  | 3,8 m        | 21 % |
| Crédito COP 261 mm | 32 %    | 17 % | 1,1 m        | 28 % |
| COP 1,3 b          | 21      | 7 %  | 4,9 m        | 22 % |



# **Operaciones con cheques**

| Valor             | Valor Cambio |                   | Número Cambio |       |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|
|                   | Nominal      | Real              |               |       |
| Intra COP 221 mm  | -4 %         | -15 %             | 11.272        | -14 % |
| Inter COP 610 mm  | -2 %         | -13 %             | 19.256        | -16 % |
| <b>COP 851 mm</b> | -2           | -4 <sup>0</sup> / | 30.528        | -15 % |